

In re Application of:
Palsson et al.
Application No.: 10/087,441
Filed: March 1, 2002
Page 9

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: UCSD1330-2

II. REMARKS

In connection with the above-identified patent application, entry of the Preliminary Amendment is respectfully requested. The amendments to the specification provided herein incorporate information that was included in the drawings as filed, but is being incorporated into the specification with this amendment because it is being deleted from the drawings with the replacement drawings filed May 20, 2002 in the Response to Notice to File Missing Parts. No new matter has been added with the amendments provided herein.

In view of the amendments and the above remarks, it is submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if there are any questions relating to this application.

Please charge any additional fees, or make any credits, to Deposit Account
No. 50-1355.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 20, 2002



Lisa Haile, J.D., Ph.D.
Registration No. 38,347
Telephone: (858) 677-1456
Facsimile: (858) 677-1465
Respectfully submitted,

CUSTOMER NUMBER 28213
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP
4365 Executive Drive, Suite 1100
San Diego, California 92121-2133

Enclosures: Exhibit A

EXHIBIT A

MARKED-UP COPY OF THE SPECIFICATION AND THE CLAIMS SHOWING THE AMENDMENTS

A. In the Specification

Please amend paragraph 11 on page 3 as follows:

Figure 3 shows a schematic drawing of a regulatory network associated with a reaction in a metabolic network. Integration of a stoichiometric model and a logical model is achieved through regulatory restraints (logic values of reaction processes) which are used to refine appropriate reaction constraints in the model. If rxnLogic = 1 then use Activity constraints; If rxnLogic = 0 then use Inactivity constraints. Activity constraints set for rxn_{stoich}: (lower bound = 0, upper bound = INF or #); Inactivity constraints for rxn_{stoich}: (lower bound = 0, upper bound = 0). Logic functions: $a_1 = (\text{activator/inhibitor}) \cdot \text{TF}$; $a_2 = 1$; $c_1 = \text{TF}^* \cdot \text{pr}_1 \cdot \text{gene1} \cdot \text{gene2}$; $c_2 = \text{pr}_3 \cdot \text{gene3}$; $l_1 = M_{\text{gene1}}$; $l_2 = M_{\text{gene2}}$; $l_3 = M_{\text{gene3}}$; $p1 = P_{\text{gene1}} \cdot P_{\text{gene2}} \cdot P_{\text{gene3}}$; $\text{ISnLogic} = \text{Protein} \cdot \text{Cofactor} \cdot \text{Substrate}_1 \cdot \text{Substrate}_2$. Time delays can be specified for the switching of each memorization variable after a triggering change in the associated function.

Please amend paragraph 15 on page 4 as follows:

Figure 7 shows a schematic drawing of a simplified core metabolic network₁, together with a table containing] Table 4 provides the stoichiometry of the 20 metabolic reactions included in the network.

Please amend paragraph 16 on page 4 as follows:

Figure 8 shows, in Panel A, a simulation of aerobic growth of *E. coli* on acetate with glucose reutilization; [in Panel B, a table of parameters used to generate the plots in Panel A;] and in Panel [C] B, in silico arrays showing the up- or down-regulation of selected genes, or activity of regulatory proteins, in the regulatory network. Panel A shows three time plots showing experimental data (closed squares or triangles) and the corresponding simulations performed using the combined regulatory/metabolic model (solid lines) as well as the stand-

alone metabolic model (dashed lines). Table 5 provides parameters used to generate the plots in Panel A.

Please amend paragraph 17 on page 4 as follows:

Figure 9 shows, in Panel A, a simulation of anaerobic growth of *E. coli* on glucose; [in Panel B, a table of parameters used to generate the plots in Panel A;] and in Panel [C] B, in silico arrays showing the up- or down-regulation of selected genes, or activity of regulatory proteins, in the regulatory network. Table 6 provides the parameters used to generate the plots in Panel A.

Please amend page paragraph 18 on page 4 as follows:

Figure 10 shows, in Panel A, a simulation of aerobic growth of *E. coli* on glucose and lactose;[in Panel B, a table of parameters used to generate the plots in Panel A;] and in Panel [C] B, in silico arrays showing the up- or down-regulation of selected genes, or activity of regulatory proteins, in the regulatory network. Table 7 provides the parameters used to generate the plots of Panel A. Panel A shows time plots showing experimental data (triangles) and the corresponding simulations performed using the combined regulatory/metabolic model (thick solid lines), the stand-alone metabolic model (dashed lines), and the kinetic model described in Kremling (*Metabolic Eng.* 3:362-379 (2001)) (thin solid line).

Please amend paragraph 139 on page 48 as follows:

A skeleton of the biochemical reaction network of core metabolism was formulated, including 20 reactions, 7 of which are regulated as shown in [the upper panel of] Figure 7. This network provided a simplified representation of core metabolic processes including glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway, TCA cycle, fermentation pathways, amino acid biosynthesis and cell growth, along with corresponding regulation pathways including catabolite repression, aerobic/anaerobic regulation, amino acid biosynthesis regulation and carbon storage regulation. The skeleton biochemical reaction network was represented as a skeleton combined

Please amend paragraph 156 on page 53 as follows:

Please amend paragraph 159 on page 54 as follows:

Gray Cary\GT\6294792.1
101668-66

For example, both models require fumarase activity as part of the optimal flux distribution; however, of the two models only the combined regulatory/metabolic model was able to specifically determine that the *fumB* gene product [which as being] is expressed under anaerobic conditions.

Please amend paragraph 160 on page 55 as follows:

Aerobic growth of *E. coli* on glucose and lactose was simulated using the *in silico* models and compared to *in vivo* observations from mixed batch cultures and to results reported for a kinetic model as described in Kremling et al., Metabolic Eng. 3:362-379 (2001). Overall, the combined regulatory/metabolic model predictions were in good agreement with the *in vivo* observations, comparable with the predictions made by the Kremling model, and better than the predictions of the stand-alone metabolic model as shown in Figure 10 which was generated using the parameters provided in Table 7. The deficiencies in the ability of the stand-alone metabolic model to accurately predict the results of this experiment is most likely due to the concurrent uptake of glucose and lactose, resulting in much more rapid depletion of the substrates and a higher growth rate. Interestingly, because of the larger flux of carbon source uptake, the stand-alone metabolic model predicted that *E. coli* growth should be oxygen-, rather than carbon-limited in this case. Accordingly, the secretion of acetate and formate was predicted by the stand-alone metabolic model. In contrast, the combined regulatory/metabolic model predicted that no secretion will occur under these conditions.

Please amend paragraph 161 on page 55 as follows:

The *in silico* arrays for the simulation (Figure [10C]10B) showed one shift in gene expression, occurring just under five hours. The up-regulation of the lactose uptake and degradation machinery, together with key enzymes in galactose metabolism, enables the system to use lactose as a carbon source once the glucose in the medium has been depleted.